

Procedure Year: 2023

Procedure Status: Approved

Procedure Department: CO - Libya - Tripoli

Overall Project Risk Categorization: ● Highly Satisfactory

Procedure Name: ASM-00639

Procedure Type: Design

Decision:

QA Summary/Project Board Comments:

RELATED PROJECTS(1)					
NAME	DEPARTMENT	STATUS	ATLAS PROJECT NUMBER	START DATE	END DATE
01000954	CO - Libya - Tripoli	Draft		7/3/2023	7/3/2024

RELATED SESPS(1)		
PARENT PROCEDURE	PROCEDURE TYPE	PROCEDURE YEAR
ASM-00642	SESP (Social and Environmental Screening Procedure)	2023

APPROVAL HISTORY			
STEP NAME	DATE	STATUS	ASSIGNED TO
Submitted for Approval	2023-09-20 07:11:54	Approved	Mahezabeen Khan
Approval Request Submitted	2023-09-07 04:38:51	Started	Eman Elmasri

Identified Risks & Treatments

Category: 2. Financial

Risk Significance: ■ Substantial

Risk Significance: ■ Moderate

Sub-Category: 2.3. Corruption and fraud

Event:

Diversion of funds, fraud, corruption

Causes:

lack of fund

Impact:

Slow delivery

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Up to 30% budget deviationFocus on value for money Fraud and corruption generally not tolerated		1/4/2026	Not Started	

Risk Significance:  Substantial

Sub-Category: 2.5. Delivery

Event:

Slow delivery

Causes:

Slow delivery

Impact:

Slow delivery

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Increase project team capacity ad hoc at technical and operational levels.		12/31/2024	Ongoing	

Category: 5. Reputational

Risk Significance:  Moderate

Risk Significance:  Moderate

Sub-Category: 5.4. Communications

Event:

Negative media perception/reporting

Causes:

lack of communication

Impact:

delay reporting

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Effective coordination with UNDP project management/communication team as well as Regional/HQ communications teams for any potential escalation/guidance		12/31/2023	Ongoing	

Category: 2. Financial

Risk Significance:  Moderate

Risk Significance:  Moderate

Sub-Category: 2.3. Corruption and fraud

Event:

Diversion of funds, fraud, corruption

Causes:

lack of fund

Impact:

Slow delivery

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Up to 30% budget deviationFocus on value for money		1/4/2026	Not Started	

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Fraud and corruption generally not tolerated				

Risk Significance:  Substantial

Sub-Category: 2.5. Delivery

Event:

Slow delivery

Causes:

Slow delivery

Impact:

Slow delivery

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Increase project team capacity ad hoc at technical and operational levels.		12/31/2024	Ongoing	

Category: 8. Safety and Security

Risk Significance:  Moderate

Risk Significance:  Moderate

Sub-Category: 8.2. Political instability

Event:

Programme personnel casualty or injury, other threats

Causes:

Conflict in the country

Impact:

Slow delivery

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Proper coordination and communication with all relevant stakeholders.		12/31/2025	Ongoing	

Category: 4. Organizational

Risk Significance:  Low

Risk Significance:  Low

Sub-Category: 4.3. Implementation arrangements

Event:

Lack of visible results/impact

Causes:

implementation arrangements

Impact:

Lack of visible results/impact

Risk Significance:  Low

Sub-Category: 4.5. Monitoring and oversight

Event:

Low quality of implementation

Causes:

Low quality of implementation

Impact:

The quality of all activities will be assured by adequate technical & operational oversight as required.

Category: 4. Organizational

Risk Significance:  Low

Risk Significance:  Low

Sub-Category: 4.3. Implementation arrangements

Event:

Lack of visible results/impact

Causes:

implementation arrangements

Impact:

Lack of visible results/impact

Risk Significance:  Low

Sub-Category: 4.5. Monitoring and oversight

Event:

Low quality of implementation

Causes:

Low quality of implementation

Impact:

The quality of all activities will be assured by adequate technical & operational oversight as required.

Category: 1. Social and Environmental

Risk Significance:  Low

Risk Significance:  Low

Sub-Category: 1.12. Stakeholder engagement

Event:

POLITICAL INSTABILITY AND FRAGMENTATION

Causes:

conflict and civil war.

Impact:

Delay of delivery and approval of the delivery.

RELATED TREATMENTS(1)				
ACTIVITIES FOR TREATMENT	EXPECTED EFFECT	TIME PLAN FOR COMPLETE	STATUS	COMMENTS
Use of UNDP Direct Implementation Modality (DIM) Dealing only with at technical level of ministries and national stakeholders.		12/31/2023	Not Started	

Category: 3. Operational

Risk Significance:  Low

Risk Significance:  Low

Sub-Category: 3.4. Reporting and communication

Event:

Lack of visibility/under-reporting

Causes:

Lack of visibility/under-reporting

Impact:

Delay reporting

QA Questionnaire:

Strategic

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- ☐ 3: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.

- ☒ 2: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.
- ☐ 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

***Note: Projects not contributing to a programme must have a project-specific Theory of Change. See alternative question under the information icon for these cases.**

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project has a theory of change with explicit assumptions, explaining the necessary steps to adapt to the climate impact and the project document clearly describes why the project's strategy is the best approach at this point in time in order to achieve the desired change.

Evidence ProDoc page.5

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- ☒ 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan and adapts at least one Signature Solution. The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The Project is aligned with the thematic focus area Environment and contributes to UNDP strategic Plan to Putting nature and the environment at the heart of national economies and planning; helping government protect, manage and value their natural assets. Evidence ProDoc page 1

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNSDCF/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- ☒ YES
- ☐ NO

***Note: Project QA cannot be approved by Project QA Approver when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project is clearly aligned with the CPD objective to mitigate climate change and foster green growth and renewable energy transition are enhanced. The project contributed to the CPD outcome and UNSDCF outcome.

Evidence ProDoc page 1&4

Relevant

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

4. Does the project identify target groups , and particularly those marginalized, vulnerable and left further behind (LNOB)

- ☐ 3: The LNOB target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.
- ☒ 2: The LNOB target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.
- ☐ 1: The LNOB target groups are not clearly specified.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1. Projects that build institutional capacity should still identify targeted groups to justify support.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project contributes to achieving the collective outcomes of the United Nations Sustainable Development Cooperation Framework UNSDCF 2023-2025 particularly Outcome 4.1 which aims to support the people of Libya, particularly the vulnerable and marginalized, to increase their resilience to the impacts of climate change, water scarcity and environmental degradation.

Evidence ProDoc pg. 4 . The project interventions are gender sensitized in outputs 1&2 also have indicators (results resource framework – on page 15)

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- ☐ 3: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.
- ☒ 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.
- ☐ 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The ProDoc outlined the objectives, expected outcomes, activities, and budget of the project. It also included a detailed implementation plan and a monitoring and evaluation framework to track progress and measure success using the lessons learnt from the previous projects. (results resource framework – on page 15)

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- ☒ 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.
- ☐ 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

UNDP will work in partnership with both national and international actors. At a programmatic level, each actor has already identified their focal points who will remain in constant contact with UNDP's project team. At a strategic level, UNDP and its partners as well as diplomatic actors will coordinate with UNEP and other UN offices including the World Meteorological Organisation and the UN Committee for the Review of the Implementation of the Convention as well as the UNFCCC. Specialised support will also come from the International Methane Observatory and UNEP.

At a national level, the main government partners include Ministry of Environment, the National Committee on Climate Change, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Oil and the National Oil Corporation, Prime Minister's Office. At a local level, partnerships are being developed with municipalities, civil society, technical institutions, and universities. Evidence ProDoc. Page 10

Principled

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

- ☒ 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The UNDP operations units provide procurement, finance, human resources, security, administrative services support to the implementation of all projects, in compliance with the UNDP operational policies and procedures. Evidence page 11

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

- ☐ 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Gender-responsive implementation mechanism is ensured through working together with authorities, UNDP partners and community organizations to promote gender equality in achieving programme results. The project interventions are gender sensitized in outputs 1&2 also have indicators (results resource framework – on page 15)

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

- ☒ 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project focuses on sustainability and scaling up through international aid, aiming to catalyze the transition for national actors and investors. The Scaling up Climate Ambition program addresses climate change impacts in energy and agriculture sectors. The project aims to modify financial flows towards sustainable products and

projects, while enabling national government, private investors, and international investors to fund large-scale solar construction projects. The project structures enable the UN to handover its work to investors and government regulators. Evidence ProDoc page 12 &13

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of the preparation and dissemination of reports and communication materials; organization of events, workshops, or training; strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences; partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks; and global/regional projects with no country-level activities as well as Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects. [If yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, Select all exemption criteria that apply.]

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)

***Applicable only to option "SESP not required"**

- ☐ 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
☐ 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
☐ 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
☐ 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
☐ 5: Global/regional projects with no country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
☐ 6: UNDP acting as Administrative Agent
☐ 7: Development Effectiveness projects and Institutional Effectiveness projects

***Note: Project QA cannot be approved by Project QA Approver when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Evidence SESP has been provided.

Management & Monitoring

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: Exemplary

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- ☒ 3: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)
☐ 2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)
☐ 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate.
Evidence ProDoc page 15

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- ☒ 3: The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project will be coordinated at the top level by a Project Board, comprised of one representative each from UNDP, UNEP, each donor, and the Government of Libya, represented by the Ministry of Planning. The PSC will meet every 6 months. The Project Board will be responsible for oversight and act as an advisory authority, representing the highest body for coordination, strategic guidance, oversight, and quality assurance. The Project Board will be led and convened by UNDP and will meet at least once a year.

Evidence ProDocPage 13

13. Have the project risks been identified using the risk assessment tools (Project Quality Assurance, Social and Environmental Screening Procedure, Partner Capacity Assessment Tool, Harmonized Approach to Cash Transfer, Private Sector Due Diligence, etc., if applicable), with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

- ☒ 3: Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk register, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)
- ☐ 2: Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk register based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.
- ☐ 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk register, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Evidence; All required documents have been shared and attached

Efficient

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project forms part of a nexus with other projects within the Energy and Environment Pillar to maximize results, impacts and proper use of resources

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- ☐ 3: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.
- ☒ 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- ☐ 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The multi-year work plan includes identifying potential funding sources, such as partnerships with other organizations. The budget also includes provisions for all the activities to ensure transparency and accountability, financial reporting mechanisms have been established, including regular audits and reviews of financial statements. Overall, the resource mobilization plans, and budget demonstrate a comprehensive approach to managing costs and resources for the successful implementation of the project.
Evidence ProDoc Page 21

16. Is the Country Office / Regional / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- ☒ 3: The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)
- ☐ 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.
- ☐ 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1. The budget must be revised to fully reflect the costs of implementation before the project commences.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project covers all the costs related to the project.

Effective

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

17. Have targeted groups, and particularly those marginalized, vulnerable, and left further behind (LNOB), been engaged in the design of the project?

- ☐ 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated, vulnerable and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- ☒ 2: Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.
- ☐ 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.

☐ Not Applicable

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

At a national level, the main government partners include the Ministry of Environment, the National Committee on Climate Change, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Oil and the National Oil Corporation, and the Prime Minister's Office. At a local level, partnerships consultation with municipalities, civil society, technical institutions, and universities will continue during the implementation stage as well.
ProDoc, Page 10.

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

☒ Yes
☐ No

***Note: Risk Management must be done when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project conducted lessons learned exercises

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

☒ Yes
☐ No

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

All outputs are scored with the gender markers.
Evidence ProDoc (results resource framework – on page 15)

20. Have societal digital risks and opportunities been taken into account when designing the project's approach and have digital or data technology solutions been considered to enhance the efficiency, effectiveness and scalability of project results?

- ☐ 3: To the extent possible, societal digital risks and opportunities have been investigated when designing the strategy and Theory of Change, and the potential use of digital or data technologies in project activities has been considered in line with UNDP's digital standards and data principles. (All must be true)
- ☒ 2: Only the potential use of digital or data solutions in project activities has been considered in line with UNDP's digital standards and data principles, but there is no or limited evidence that aspects of inclusive digital societies have been considered in the design of the strategy or Theory of Change.
- ☐ 1: Neither societal digital risks and opportunities, nor digital or data technology solutions were specifically considered in the project design or, UNDP's digital standards and data principles are not taken into account when intending to use digital or data technology solutions in project activities.
- ☐ Digital considerations are not relevant to this project.

***Applicable only to option "Digital considerations are not relevant"**

- ☐ 1: Societal digital transformation is not a government or contextual priority
- ☐ 2: A non-digital approach yields higher effectiveness and efficiency
- ☐ 3: Other (specify in the "Evidence" section)

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project will upgrade the National Metrological Centres data archive which is currently primarily in hard copy. The digitization of this information will not only preserve but allow for greater data analysis. Efforts will also be made to enhance the capacity of the national early warning systems to better use social media in the dissemination of its public warnings. The methane reduction programming, through its collaboration with the UNEP Methane Observatory, has already begun to equip the National Oil Corporation with satellite data that identifies and tracks its methane emissions. Also, digitalization covered in output 2
Evidence ProDoc page 12, 8 and 12

Sustainability & National Ownership

Status: Complete

Quality Rating: Exemplary

21. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the country / regional / global project, respectively?

- ☒ 3: National / regional / global partners have full ownership of the country / regional / global project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.
- ☐ 2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.
- ☐ 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

UNDP will work in partnership with both national and international actors. At a programmatic level, each actor has already identified their focal points who will remain in constant contact with UNDP's project team. At a strategic level, UNDP and its partners as well as diplomatic actors will coordinate with UNEP and other UN offices including the World Meteorological Organisation and the UN Committee for the Review of the Implementation of the Convention as well as the UNFCCC. Specialised support will also come from the International Methane Observatory and UNEP. At a national level, the main government partners include Ministry of Environment, the National Committee on Climate Change, Ministry of Economy, Ministry of Oil and the National Oil Corporation, Prime Minister's Office. At a local level, partnerships are being developed with municipalities, civil society, technical institutions, and universities.

22. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- ☐ 3: The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.
- ☐ 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.
- ☐ 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out
- ☒ Not Applicable

***Note: Risk management must be done for criteria with score of 1.**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

N/A

23. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.,) to the extent possible?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not Applicable

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

Yes, there is a clear strategy whereby national systems will be used. As per task 2.2.2 will support the develop of more effective and digitized methods to record meteorological data (procurement and installation of 20 weather stations). Also, UNDP has a third-party monitoring which will undertake monitoring as well as build the capacity for national counterparts. Evaluation of the project will be taken UNDP as per INDP rules and guidelines and lessons learnt will share with counterpace, stakeholders and partners.

Evidence ProDoc page 7

24. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

***Note: Risk management must be done when the response is "No".**

Evidence (Enter a short explanation or upload a document that provides evidence for your response)

The project focuses on sustainability and scaling up through international aid, aiming to catalyze the transition for national actors and investors. The Scaling up Climate Ambition program addresses climate change's impacts in energy and agriculture sectors. The project aims to modify financial flows towards sustainable products and projects, while enabling national government, private investors, and international investors to fund large-scale solar construction projects. The project structures enable the UN to handover its work to investors and government regulators. Evidence ProDoc page 12 &13